

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

In re:

The Financial Oversight and Management Board for  
Puerto Rico,

as representative of

The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, *et al.*,

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

PROMESA

Title III

No. 17 BK 3283-LTS

In re:

The Financial Oversight and Management Board for  
Puerto Rico,

as representative of

The Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority,

Debtor.

PROMESA

Title III

No. 17 BK 4780-LTS

**THE AD HOC GROUP OF PREPA BONDHOLDERS' STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF  
THE OBJECTION OF ASSURED GUARANTY CORP. AND ASSURED GUARANTY  
MUNICIPAL CORP. TO THE URGENT MOTION OF OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF  
UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR ORDER, PURSUANT TO BANKRUPTCY CODE  
SECTION 926(a), AUTHORIZING COMMITTEE TO PURSUE  
CERTAIN AVOIDANCE ACTIONS ON BEHALF OF  
PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY**

The Ad Hoc Group of PREPA Bondholders (collectively, the “**Ad Hoc Group**”) respectfully submits this statement in support (“**Statement**”) of the objection of Assured Guaranty

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these Title III Cases, along with each Debtor’s respective Title III case number and the last four (4) digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, as applicable, are the (i) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3283-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3481); (ii) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (“**COFINA**”) (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3284-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 8474); (iii) Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority (“**HTA**”) (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3567-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3808); (iv) Employees Retirement System of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (“**ERS**”) (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 3566-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 9686); and (v) Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (“**PREPA**”) (Bankruptcy Case No. 17 BK 4780-LTS) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3747) (Title III case numbers are listed as Bankruptcy Case numbers due to software limitations).

Corp. and Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (“**Assured**”) to the *Urgent Motion of Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors for Order, Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Section 926(a), Authorizing Committee to Pursue Certain Avoidance Actions on Behalf of Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority* [Case No. 17-4780, Dkt. No. 1354] (the “**Motion**”) filed by the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the “**Committee**”). In support of this Statement, the Ad Hoc Group respectfully states as follows:

### **STATEMENT**

The Ad Hoc Group supports the solution proposed by the Financial Oversight and Management Board (the “**Oversight Board**”) in its opposition to the Motion (the “**Oversight Board Opposition**”) [Case No. 17-4780, Dkt. No. 1371] – appointing AAFAF as co-plaintiff for a limited time – and incorporates the arguments Assured made in its objection. The Ad Hoc Group asserts the following additional reasons why the Motion should be denied.

1. As the Court is aware, the Ad Hoc Group, PREPA,<sup>2</sup> AAFAF, the Oversight Board, and Assured are party to a Definitive Restructuring Support Agreement (the “**RSA**”), which provides for a settlement of the parties’ potential claims. PREPA’s Title III case has been marked by complex and contentious litigation that demonstrated deep disagreements among the parties on the nature, extent, and value of bondholder liens. The RSA settles those claims, thereby avoiding complex and protracted litigation.

2. The RSA provides that *only* the Government Parties shall have standing or otherwise be permitted to bring a Lien Challenge, *see* RSA § 3(i), and they may only do so in certain limited circumstances. *See* RSA § 3(e). Even in those limited circumstances, the

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given to such term in the Definitive RSA.

Government Parties agreed to seek to have the Lien Challenge stayed.<sup>3</sup> *See id.* (“If any of the Government Parties files a [permitted] Lien Challenge . . . the Government Parties shall use commercially reasonable efforts to *stay any further proceedings in respect of such Lien Challenge (including extending all relevant deadlines and otherwise forbearing from pursuit of such Lien Challenge)* if they are able to do so in a manner that does not prejudice their ability to resume or prosecute the Lien Challenge after expiration or termination of such stay.”) (emphasis added).

3. Granting the Committee standing to pursue a Lien Challenge would disrupt the hard-fought settlement provided for in the RSA.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, it is unnecessary, in light of the Oversight Board’s proposal to have AAFAF serve as co-plaintiff for so long as the challenge to the Oversight Board’s authority exists.

4. Finally, granting the Committee standing would be contrary to this Court’s ruling on the *Urgent Joint Motion for Entry of Order Approving Stipulation and Agreed Order by and Among Financial Oversight and Management Board, its Special Claims Committee, and Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Related to Joint Prosecution of Debtor Causes of Action* [Case No. 17-3283, Dkt. No. 6305], in which the Court stated the “unique circumstances present a situation where the Oversight Board has decided to *share its responsibility* to prosecute certain

---

<sup>3</sup> To the extent that the Government Parties plan to file a Lien Challenge before the July deadline, it would only be done to preserve the cause of action and not to prosecute the Lien Challenge at such time. *See* RSA § 3(e) (providing circumstances where Government Parties are permitted to file a Lien Challenge and their agreement to seek to have such a Lien Challenge stayed). The Government Parties’ ability to file a Lien Challenge in limited circumstances is expressly contemplated by the RSA, and any decision to do so does not reflect a change in that Government Party’s position to support the RSA and the settlements therein.

<sup>4</sup> Indeed, in ruling on the Committee’s request for an order authorizing it to pursue certain causes of action on behalf of the Commonwealth, *see Motion of Official Committee of Un-secured Creditors for Order Authorizing Committee to Pursue Certain Causes of Action on Behalf of Commonwealth and Granting Related Relief* [Case No. 17-3283, Dkt. No. 6325], the Court observed that appointment of a trustee could disrupt complex negotiations. *See* Transcript of Hearing Held on April 24, 2019 (“**Hr’g Tr.**”) 162:7–13, relevant portions attached as Exh. A (stating that “Collier warns that Courts should not permit a motion for a trustee to be used by creditors as a bargaining lever in negotiations over the plan, and *the process should not be taken out of the debtor’s hands by the appointment of a trustee to upset the delicate balance among competing interests* that must be preserved for successful plan negotiation, formulation and solicitation.”) (emphasis added).

claims, and it has, therefore, effectively refused to pursue the causes of action to the extent that it has sought . . . to have the Committee share responsibility for prosecution of the causes of action.” Hr’g Tr. 236:21–237:2 (emphasis added). Here, the Oversight Board has “decided to share its responsibility” to prosecute the Lien Challenge with AAFAF, and granting the Committee’s Motion would vitiate the Oversight Board’s exercise of authority.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth above, the Ad Hoc Group respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the Motion and deny the relief sought therein.

*[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]*

We hereby certify that, on this same date, we electronically filed the foregoing with the clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will notify the attorneys of record.

**RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,**

In San Juan, Puerto Rico, today June 21, 2019.

**TORO COLÓN MULLET P.S.C.**

/s/ Manuel Fernández-Bared

MANUEL FERNÁNDEZ-BARED

USDC-PR No. 204,204

Email: mfb@tcm.law

/s/ Linette Figueroa-Torres

LINETTE FIGUEROA-TORRES

USDC-PR No. 227,104

Email: lft@tcm.law

/s/ Jane Patricia Van Kirk

JANE PATRICIA VAN KIRK

USDC-PR No. 220,510

Email: jvankirk@tcm.law

P.O. Box 195383

San Juan, PR 00919-5383

Tel.: (787) 751-8999

Fax: (787) 763-7760

**KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS &  
FRANKEL LLP**

/s/ Amy Caton

THOMAS MOERS MAYER\*

AMY CATON\*

ALICE J. BYOWITZ\*

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

Tel.: (212) 715-9100

Fax: (212) 715-8000

Email: tmayer@kramerlevin.com

acaton@kramerlevin.com

abyowitz@kramerlevin.com

\* (admitted *pro hac vice*)

*Counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of PREPA Bondholders*